



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,551

07/07/2006

Philip Buzby

NEN-22602/16

2072

37742

7590

02/02/2009

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

P.O. BOX 7021

TROY, MI 48007-7021

EXAMINER

BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/02/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,551	Applicant(s) BUZBY, PHILIP	
	Examiner ANGELA BERTAGNA	Art Unit 1637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Angela Bertagna (PTO). (3) Weston Gould (Applicant's Rep).

(2) Ken Horlick (PTO). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7,9,10,13-16,18,21,23 and 26-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kwok, Tabor.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed possible amendments to the claims to overcome the prior art of record. Examiners indicated that amendment to indicate that the pyrophosphatase is added at the conclusion of (rather than during) the nucleic acid synthesis reaction would likely overcome the previously made rejections. The examiners indicated that such amendments may necessitate further search and/or consideration, and therefore, might not be entered after-final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth R Horlick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637	
--	--